

Symmetries and novel universal properties of turbulent hydrodynamics in a symmetric binary fluid mixture

Abhik Basu

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme,
Nöthnitzer Str. 38, D-01187 Dresden, Germany [*], Abteilung Theorie,
Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Glienicker Strasse 100, D-14109 Berlin, Germany,
and Poornaprajna Institute of Scientific Research, Bangalore, India.

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

We elucidate the universal properties of the nonequilibrium steady states (NESS) in a driven symmetric binary fluid mixture, an example of active advection, in its miscible phase. We use the symmetries of the equations of motion to establish the appropriate form of the structure functions which characterise the statistical properties of the NESS of a driven symmetric binary fluid mixture. We elucidate the universal properties described by the scaling exponents and the amplitude ratios. Our results suggest that these exponents and amplitude ratios *vary continuously* with the degree of crosscorrelations between the velocity and the gradient of the concentration fields. Furthermore, we demonstrate, in agreement with Celani *et al*, [*Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89**, 234502 (2002)], that the conventional structure functions as used in passive scalar turbulence studies exhibit only simple scaling in the problem of symmetric binary fluid mixture *even* in the weak concentration limit. We also discuss possible experimental verifications of our results.

PACS numbers:

Critical dynamics of equilibrium systems show universal scaling properties for thermodynamic functions and correlation functions characterised by universal scaling exponents that depend on the spatial dimension d and the symmetry of the order parameter (e.g., Ising, XY etc.) and conservation laws [1, 2], but not on the parameters that specify the details of the Hamiltonian. A different situation arises in driven, dissipative, nonequilibrium systems with nonequilibrium statistical steady states (NESS). In this letter we demonstrate that in the NESS of externally driven turbulent homogeneous and isotropic symmetric binary fluid mixtures (50-50 concentration of the two components) universal properties are characterised by certain structure functions, determined by the symmetries of the equations of motion, and which are different from those used in passive scalar turbulence studies. We find that the universal properties vary continuously with the degree of crosscorrelations between the velocity and the gradient of the concentration fields, a situation which has no analogue in equilibrium.

The turbulent hydrodynamics of an incompressible symmetric binary fluid is described by the coupled evolution of the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and the concentration field gradient $\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ with $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = [\rho_A(\mathbf{x}, t) - \rho_B(\mathbf{x}, t)]/\rho_0$ where ρ_0 is the mean density, and ρ_A and ρ_B are the densities of the two components. In a *symmetric* binary fluid $\langle\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)\rangle = 0$. Experiments performed on such systems have been typically concerned with the measurements of effective transport coefficients [3]. In a symmetric binary mixture, ψ is not simply advected passively by the $\mathbf{u}$ -field, but is *active*, i.e., concentration gradient $\nabla\psi$ reacts back on $\mathbf{u}$ to produce flows. In ordinary fluid turbulence the NESS is characterised by the multiscaling exponents of the structure functions of the velocity fields (see below)[4, 5]. Compared to the studies of ordinary fluid turbulence the study of turbulent binary mixtures is in its infancy [3].

In this letter we develop a one-loop, self-consistent theory for a randomly forced symmetric binary mixture system to elucidate the universal statistical properties of its NESS in the miscible region. We identify the symmetries of the Eqs. of motion which allow us to construct the structure functions (different from the ones discussed in the literature for passive scalar turbulence studies - see below) which exhibits multiscaling in the NESS in the inertial range.. We calculate the interrelations between certain dimensionless numbers, e.g., the amplitude-ratio of the equal time correlators of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\nabla\psi$ fields and the renormalized Prandtl number (see below) in the NESS in d -dimensions. Finally, we elucidate the nature of multiscaling by calculating the multiscaling exponents for the even order structure functions of the $\nabla\psi$ field in the limit of small ψ in two different models. In one case we find the multiscaling exponents to vary with the degree of crosscorrelations between the $\mathbf{u}$ and $\nabla\psi$ fields. We also consider the scaling of the conventional structure functions and show, in agreement with Ref.[6], that they exhibit only simple scaling.

a. Equations of motion, their symmetries and structure functions:- It is well-known [6, 7, 8] that in the dynamics of a symmetric binary fluid mixture the velocity fields $\mathbf{u}$ couple with the concentration gradient $\nabla\psi$ and not with ψ itself. Thus it is useful to write the coupled evolution equations in terms of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\nabla\psi$. We are interested in the isopropic and homogeneous situation, i.e., we have no mean concentration gradient, $\langle\nabla\psi\rangle = 0$. Furthermore, for calculational convenience (see below), we write $\nabla\psi = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{B}_0/\alpha_o$, where $\mathbf{b}$ is a space-time dependent vector, $\mathbf{B}_0$ is a constant vector and α_o is a numerical constant. Note that since we impose $\langle\nabla\psi\rangle = 0$ we have $\langle\mathbf{b}\rangle = -\mathbf{B}_0/\alpha_o$.

Such a parametrization of $\nabla\psi$ yields the Eqs. of motion [6, 7, 8]

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \lambda_1 (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho_0} - \mathbf{B}_o \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} - \alpha_o \mathbf{b} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial t} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\alpha_o} \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{B}_o) + \lambda_2 \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{g}. \quad (2)$$

We also consider, for simplicity, the velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ to be incompressible enforced by the condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. The parameters λ_1 , λ_2 and α_o are coupling constants. Coupling constants λ_1 , λ_2 are unity and kept for formal bookkeeping purposes. A choice of α_o depends on the unit of $\nabla\psi$ and is given by $\alpha_o = \frac{\zeta^2}{m\chi}$ [7] where ζ is the thermal correlation length, χ is the susceptibility per particle, and m is the particle mass. This, within the mean field theory, yields α_o to be approximately temperature independent near the critical point T_c [7]. On the other hand, away from T_c , similar considerations yield $\alpha_o \sim T$. Therefore, at any finite temperature $\alpha_o \sim O(1)$ and, as a result, a symmetric binary mixture exhibits active behaviour. Functions $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are stochastic forces required to maintain a statistical steady state. Parameters ν_o , η_o are the bare viscosity and diffusivity respectively. Note that in a problem of true passive advection α_o is identically zero. At this point we would like to clarify that by writing $\nabla\psi$ as the sum of $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}_o/\alpha_o$ we do not introduce any anisotropy in the problem as the latter is decided by $\langle \nabla\psi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle + \mathbf{B}_o/\alpha_o$ which is kept zero in our system. Furthermore, stochastic forces $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ have isotropic variances [see Eqs.(5)] and hence do not violate the isotropicity of the system.

The Eqs. of motion (1) and (2) are invariant under

- TI: The Galilean transformation $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}_o t, t) + \mathbf{u}_o$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathbf{u}_o \cdot \nabla$ and $\mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}$ with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ [7, 8].
- TII: The transformation $\mathbf{B}_o \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_o + \alpha_o \delta$, $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \delta$, $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$. Here the shift δ is a vector (see, e.g., Ref.[9] for an analogous symmetry in a related problem).

Transformation TII implies that the renormalised versions of the Eqs. (1) and (2) must be expressible, as the bare equations themselves, in terms of the total gradient fields ($= \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}_o/\alpha_o$) [9]. It has been overlooked in related previous works [7, 8]. The existence of TI implies nonrenormalisation of λ_1 , λ_2 . Since the renormalized versions of Eqs. (1) and (2) are also invariant under the transformation TII, the fourth and the fifth terms in Eq. (1) must renormalize in the same way. Similarly, the second and the third terms in Eq. (2) must renormalize in the same way. Hence the corresponding renormalization- Z factors must be equal leading to $Z_{B_o} Z_b = Z_{\alpha_o} Z_b^2$. It can be argued that in model Eqs. (1) and (2) $Z_{B_o} Z_b = 1$ [10] (this essentially is a freedom of choice for the renormalization Z -factors in the present model). This yields $Z_{\alpha_o} = 1$. Here, Z_{α_o} , Z_{B_o} and Z_b are renormalization factors for α_o , $\mathbf{B}_o$ and $\mathbf{b}$ respectively. Therefore, α_o does not renormalize and hence it can be set to unity by treating all the gradient fields as effective gradient fields $\sqrt{\alpha_o} \mathbf{b}$. In fact, this can be argued in a simple way: Let us assume that under mode elimination and rescaling $\alpha_o \rightarrow \beta \alpha_o$ where β , a scale factor, can be absorbed by redefining the units of the gradient fields $\mathbf{b}$ by $\sqrt{\beta} \mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. This leaves Eq. (2) and α_o in Eq. (1) unchanged. Furthermore, the invariance of the Eqs. motion (1) and (2) under TII ensures that the composite operators $(b_i)^n$ (Cartesian indices i contracted or free) are also not renormalized [10, 14]. It should be noted that these results hold regardless of the strength of the feedback of $\mathbf{b}$ on $\mathbf{u}$. Therefore, even though the dynamics of the $\mathbf{b}$ fields are linear in its *passive* limit, i.e., when the feedback of $\mathbf{b}$ on $\mathbf{u}$ is ignored, it must still be consistent with TII and its consequences [10] for it to represent the physical weak scalar limit of the binary mixture problem. We emphasize that by the transformation TII we do not introduce a mean concentration gradient $\langle \nabla\psi \rangle$ in the system as the latter, being given by $\mathbf{B}_o + \langle \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle$ is independent of such shifts. In particular we work with $\langle \nabla\psi \rangle = 0$ (i.e., no mean concentration gradient). This, furthermore, can be achieved in a perturbative calculation by (i) $\langle \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle = -\mathbf{B}_o \neq 0$, or, (ii) $\langle \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle = -\mathbf{B}_o = 0$, both of which are in agreement with $\langle \nabla\psi \rangle = 0$. The invariance under the transformation TII ensures that physical results are independent of such choices. In our calculations below we work with $\langle \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle = -\mathbf{B}_o = 0$ which is the most convenient one.

In fluid turbulence, the NESS is characterized by the multiscaling of the structure functions $S_n^u(r) = \langle [|u_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - u_i(\mathbf{x})| \hat{r}_i]^n \rangle \sim r^{\zeta_n^u}$ for r in the inertial range (i.e., $\eta_D \ll r \ll L$, η_D is the dissipative cutoff scale, L is the integral scale $\sim$ system size) which are invariant under the Galilean transformation. Analogously, in the problem of symmetric binary fluid mixtures, the appropriate structure functions must be invariant under TI and TII. Such functions are $S_n^a(r) = \langle [|a_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - a_i(\mathbf{x})| \hat{r}_i]^n \rangle$, $a = u, b$. The scalar field structure functions as used in passive scalar turbulence studies [11], $S_n^\psi = \langle [\psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \psi(\mathbf{x})]^n \rangle$ are not invariant under TII: $\mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} + \text{constant}$. and exhibit only simple scaling in the symmetric binary mixture problem (and hence not suitable for the studies of the passive limit of the active problem), as we show below and has been observed in Ref. [6]. In this communication we calculate the multiscaling exponents of the even order structure functions $S_{2n}^b(r) = \langle [b_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - b_i(\mathbf{x})]^{2n} \rangle$ by using one-loop dynamic renormalisation group (RG) in a field theoretic framework in the weak scalar limit of the symmetric binary mixture problem.

b. Correlation functions, scaling exponents and dimensionless numbers:- Since $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are polar and axial vectors (Note: a mass density is a pseudo scalar in $3d$ and hence $\mathbf{b} = \nabla\psi$ is an axial vector) respectively, the crosscorrelation tensor $C_{ij}^\times = \langle u_i(\mathbf{k}, t) b_j(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \rangle$ is imaginary and odd in the wavevector $\mathbf{k}$. The propagators G_a , $a = u, b$ of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ as functions of $\mathbf{k}$ and frequency ω are defined as

$$u_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv G_u(k, \omega) f_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega), b_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv G_b(k, \omega) g_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \quad (3)$$

and the correlators

$$C_{ij}^u(k, \omega) = \langle u_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) u_j(-\mathbf{k}, -\omega) \rangle, C_{ij}^b(k, \omega) = \langle b_i(\mathbf{k}, \omega) b_j(-\mathbf{k}, -\omega) \rangle. \quad (4)$$

In the scaling limit, in terms of the dynamic exponent z and the roughness exponents χ_u, χ_b of the fields $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, $G_u^{-1} = -i\omega - \Sigma_u(k, \omega)$, $G_b^{-1} = -i\omega - \Sigma_b(k, \omega)$ where self-energies $\Sigma_u = k^{-z} m_u(\omega/k^z)$, $\Sigma_b = k^{-z} m_b(\omega/k^z)$ and the correlators $C_{ij}^u = P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) k^{-d-2\chi_u-z} m_1(\omega/k^z)$, $C_{ij}^b = Q_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) k^{-d-2\chi_b-z} m_2(\omega/k^z)$ with $P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2}$ and $Q_{ij} = \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2}$ being the transverse and longitudinal projection operators respectively. The Ward identities arising from TI and TII yield $\chi_u = \chi_b = \chi$ and $\chi + z = 1$.

We assume zero-mean Gaussian distribution for $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ with variances

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_i(\mathbf{k}, t) f_j(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \rangle &= 2D_{ij}^f(\mathbf{k}) \delta(t), \\ \langle g_i(\mathbf{k}, t) g_j(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \rangle &= 2D_{ij}^g(\mathbf{k}) \delta(t), \\ \langle f_i(\mathbf{k}, t) g_j(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \rangle &= 2iD_{ij}^{\times(0)}(\mathbf{k}) \delta(t), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $D_{ij}^{f,g}(\mathbf{k}) = D_0^{f,g} H_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) k^{4-\epsilon-d}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $H_{ij} = P_{ij}, Q_{ij}$ for f, g respectively. Specifically, $\epsilon = 4$ reproduces Kolmogorov-type (hereafter K41) scaling for the kinetic energy spectrum $[k^{d-1} \langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(-\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle]$ and the gradient energy spectrum $[k^{d-1} \langle \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}, t) \cdot \mathbf{b}(-\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle]$ [8]. Allowing $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}(\mathbf{k})$, (odd in $\mathbf{k}$), to break rotational invariance or symmetry with respect to an interchange of the cartesian indices i and j we write $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = D_{ij}^{\times(0)}{}_s(\mathbf{k}) + D_{ij}^{\times(0)}{}_a(\mathbf{k})$, with $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}{}_s$ and $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}{}_a$ being the symmetric and the antisymmetric parts of $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}$. We choose $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}{}_s(\mathbf{k}) = D_s^o P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) k^{4-\epsilon-d}$, $D_{ij}^{\times(0)}{}_a(\mathbf{k}) = D_a^o \epsilon_{ijp} k_p k^{-\epsilon}$, ϵ_{ijp} is the totally antisymmetric tensor in $3d$ [15]. A one-loop self-consistent mode coupling (SCMC) theory is conveniently written in terms of the self-energies and the correlators involved.

We start with the zero frequency ($\omega = 0$) forms for the self-energies

$$\Sigma_u(k, \omega = 0) = \nu k^z, \Sigma_b(k, \omega = 0) = \eta k^z, \quad (6)$$

and the correlators

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij}^u(k, \omega = 0) &= \frac{2D_1}{\nu^2} P_{ij} k^{-2\chi-d-z}, \\ C_{ij}^b(k, \omega = 0) &= \frac{2D_2}{\eta^2} Q_{ij} k^{-2\chi-d-z}, \\ C_{ij}^s(k, \omega = 0) &= \frac{2iD_s(\mathbf{k})}{\nu\eta} P_{ij} k^{-2\chi-d-z}, D_s(\mathbf{k}) = -D_s(-\mathbf{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

in d dimensions and

$$C_{ij}^a = \frac{2iD_a}{\nu\eta} \epsilon_{ijp} k_p k^{-2\chi-z-4} \quad (8)$$

in $3d$. Subscripts s and a refer to the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of $C_{ij}^\times$. The lack of vertex renormalizations in the present problem in the zero wavevector limit implies that the SCMC yields exact relations between χ and z , viz, $\chi + z = 1$ (see, e.g., [12]). By matching the self-energies and the correlation functions calculated at the one-loop order at $\omega = 0$ with their zero frequency expressions above (we take $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \alpha_o = 1$ without any loss of generality) we obtain

$$z = 2 - \epsilon/3, \chi = -1 + \epsilon/3. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, we obtain self-consistent relations between the two dimensionless numbers, the amplitude-ratio $\Gamma = D_2/D_1$ and the renormalized Prandtl number $P = \eta/\nu$. We consider the cases of symmetric and antisymmetric crosscorrelations separately [12]. When $D_a = 0$, i.e., in the absence of antisymmetric crosscorrelations, we find

$$P^{-1} = \frac{\frac{d-1}{2(d+2)} + \frac{\Gamma}{2P^2(d+2)}}{\frac{d-1}{d(1+P)} - \frac{\Gamma(d-1)}{Pd(1+P)}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{\frac{d^2-2}{d(d+2)} + \frac{\Gamma^2}{P^3d(d+2)} - \frac{4(d^2-2)N_s^2}{P(1+P)}}{\frac{2\Gamma^{-1}(d-1)}{P(1+P)d} + \frac{2N_s^2(d-1)}{P(1+P)\Gamma^2d}}, \quad (11)$$

where $N_s = \left[\frac{\langle u_i(\mathbf{k},t)b_i(-\mathbf{k},t) \rangle}{\langle u_i(\mathbf{k},t)u_i(-\mathbf{k},t) \rangle} \right]^2$, parametrising the strength of symmetric crosscorrelations in the system.

Similarly, for finite D_a , i.e., finite antisymmetric crosscorrelations and with $D_s = 0$, Eq. (10) remains unchanged (meaningful in 3d only) but Eq. (11) changes to

$$\Gamma = \frac{\frac{7}{15} + \frac{\Gamma^2}{45P^3} + \frac{4N_a^2}{3P(1+P)}}{\frac{4\Gamma^{-1}}{3P(1+P)} - \frac{4N_a^2}{P(1+P)}}, \quad (12)$$

with $N_a = \left[\frac{\langle u_i(\mathbf{k},t)b_j(-\mathbf{k},t) \rangle_a \langle u_i(\mathbf{k},t)b_j(-\mathbf{k},t) \rangle_a}{\langle u_i(\mathbf{k},t)u_i(-\mathbf{k},t) \rangle^2} \right]$ parametrising the strength of antisymmetric crosscorrelations in the system (subscript a refers to the antisymmetric part). Note that both N_s and N_a are dimensionless numbers since $\chi_u = \chi_b$. Our results imply that in the NESS, the values of Γ and P (i) are related to each other and (ii) they depend on the dimensionless numbers N_s , N_a , i.e., the degree of the crosscorrelations between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. It is significant that similar variations of the dimensionless numbers and their relations with the breakdown of the invariances under rotation and the interchange of the cartesian indices, are observed also in MHD turbulence [12]. This strongly suggests that such properties, having no equilibrium analogue, are rather generic properties of a class of nonequilibrium systems. We close this Section by noting that the parameters N_s and N_a being the dimensionless ratios of appropriate correlators in the NESS are measurable in experiments and numerical simulations, and not the same as the amplitudes of noise crosscorrelations (5) which are introduced in our framework as convenient means to calculate different correlation functions.

c. Multiscaling exponents ζ_{2n}^b :- A full characterisation of the NESS for a turbulent binary mixture requires the calculations of $S_n^a(r)$, $a = u, b$ (see above) which scale as $\sim r^{\zeta_n^a}$ for r in the inertial range. There is no controlled perturbative calculation which permits to do this to this date. Complications of the full nonlinear problem initiated studies of multiscaling for the gradient field in the passive advection limit where the backreactions of the gradient field on the dynamics of the velocity field are neglected. There is a large body of literature on this problem, known as the *passive scalar turbulence*, see, e.g., [11]. However we differ from others in ensuring that our calculations obey the symmetry TII which was not considered in the existing works.

K41-type arguments for fluid turbulence [13] can be easily extended to a binary mixture system by demanding that the scaling in the inertial range is determined by the local length scale r and the rate of mean dissipation of energy (kinetic or gradient). This yields, $\zeta_n^a = n/3$ as in fluid turbulence. However, departures from the K41 scaling (or multiscaling) in fluid turbulence suggest the presence of similar features in the binary mixture problem. Below we analyse the scaling properties of the even order structure functions $\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b(r) = \langle [b_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - b_i(\mathbf{x})]^{2n} \rangle$ in the passive limit of the full nonlinear problem. Further, the velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ is assumed to obey a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and a variance [11]

$$\langle u_i(\mathbf{k},t)u_j(-\mathbf{k},0) \rangle = \frac{D_o P_{ij}(k)}{(k^2 + m^2)^{d/2+\varepsilon/2}} \delta(t), \quad (13)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < 2$. The field $\mathbf{b}$ is then governed by the advective equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \eta_o \nabla^2 \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{T}. \quad (14)$$

Here $\mathbf{T}$ is a short range force with correlations $\langle T_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r},t)T_j(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = Q_{ij}A(mr)\delta(t)$ with $m \sim 1/L$, L being the system size and $A(r/L) \rightarrow \text{const.}$ for $L \rightarrow \infty$ [11]. Note that following the formulations of Ref.[11] the longrange stochastic forcing function $\mathbf{g}$ in Eq. (2) is replaced by the short ranged force $\mathbf{T}$ in Eq. (14). We continue to impose the

consequences arising from TII. Thus it can be called the *modified* Kraichnan model, modified to study the weak concentration gradient limit of the *active* problem.

We employ a one-loop dynamic renormalisation group analysis with a minimal subtraction scheme in our treatment [14]. Following standard procedures [10, 14, 16] we obtain the critical dimension of the fields $\mathbf{b}$ to be given by $\Delta_b = -1 + \varepsilon/2$ [10], where ε is defined through the relation (13). Hence, from the definition of critical dimensions we find that the structure functions $\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b$ scale as $\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b(r) = r^{n(2-\varepsilon)} f_n(mr)$, with yet undetermined scaling functions $f_n(mr)$. Anomalous scaling or *multiscaling* would arise if the scaling functions were to behave in the asymptotic limit $r/L \rightarrow 0$ as $(r/L)^{\delta_n}$ (for $\delta_n = 0$ simple scaling would prevail). Ignoring such possibilities for the time being we find that $\zeta_{2n}^b(K41) = n(2 - \varepsilon)$ which is the analog of K41 scaling in fluid turbulence in this problem [10].

We now turn to the study of the asymptotic forms of the scaling functions for $mr \ll 1$, i.e., in the inertial range $\eta_D \ll r \ll L$ by using renormalisation of the most relevant composite operators in Wilson Operator Product Expansions (OPE)[16] of the scaling functions. This method has already been used in critical phenomena [16], fluid turbulence [14] and passive scalar turbulence [11]. The anomalous dimensions γ_n of the most relevant composite operators for $O_n = [b_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - b_i(\mathbf{x})]^{2n}$ are calculated in a one-loop ε -expansion. This then provides the deviation from simple scaling: $\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b(r) \sim r^{n(2-\varepsilon)+\tau_n}$ where τ_n is the anomalous dimension of O_n coming from the composite operators. In the OPE of O_n only renormalised operators which are invariant under TI and TII (which keep O_n invariant) can appear. Moreover, in the passive limit the linearity of Eq. (2) ensures that the number of $\mathbf{b}$ fields appearing in the OPE of O_n cannot exceed $2n$ [10]. For O_2 the leading operator is $[\partial_i b_j(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i b_j(\mathbf{x})]$ with an anomalous dimension $\gamma_2 = \varepsilon$, leading to $\zeta_2^g = 2 > \zeta_2^b(K41)$. For $n > 1$ the most relevant operator in the OPE of $[\partial_i b_j(\mathbf{x})]^p [\partial_i b_j(\mathbf{x}')^q]$, $p + q \leq 2n$, which determines the anomalous dimension of O_n , is $(\partial_i b_j \partial_i b_j)^n$. This yields [10]

$$\zeta_{2n}^b = n(2 - \varepsilon) - \frac{2n^2 + nd}{d + 2} \varepsilon < \zeta_{2n}^b(K41). \quad (15)$$

Note that our results satisfy key characteristics of multiscaling as observed in fluid turbulence studies [4, 5]: ζ_{2n}^b are a convex function of n and $\zeta_2^b > \zeta_2^b(K41)$, $\zeta_{2n}^b < \zeta_{2n}^b(K41)$, $n > 1$. The structure functions for the velocity fields S_n^u do not multiscaling in the present approximation due to the assumed Gaussian distribution of the velocity fields.

In the above we assumed the velocity fields to be Gaussian distributed. In contrast, real velocity fields follow Eq. (1) which we now consider. We still ignore the backreaction of $\mathbf{b}$ on the dynamics of $\mathbf{u}$; nevertheless, our calculations are consistent with the requirements imposed by TII. We are able to obtain additional results concerning the multiscaling properties of the structure functions despite the limitations [10, 14] of a one-loop ε -expansion method in this problem where ε is now defined through the noise correlations (5). We follow the calculational scheme outlined above and elucidate the multiscaling properties of $\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b$ in a one-loop ε -expansion. In the present problem the critical dimension of the field $\mathbf{b}$ is $(2 - \varepsilon/3)/2$, yielding for the structure functions

$$\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b \sim r^{n(2-\varepsilon/3)} f_n(mr). \quad (16)$$

Here $\varepsilon = 4$ is the physically interesting case. In order to find out any deviation from this simple scaling we examine the asymptotic form of $f_n(mr)$ in the procedure outlined above which yields the following results: Writing $\mathcal{S}_{2n}^b(r) \sim r^{n(2-\varepsilon/3)+\tau_n}$ we obtain [10]

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{3P(1+P)} \left[1 + \frac{4P^2}{1+P^2} \right] \quad (17)$$

and for $n > 1$,

$$\tau_n = -\frac{n(n-1)\varepsilon}{3P(1+P)d} \left[\frac{d-1}{d+2} + \frac{140P^2(4-d)(d-1)}{(d+2)(d+4)(d+6)} \right] < 0 \quad (18)$$

making $\zeta_{2n}^b < \zeta_{2n}^b(K41)$, where P is the *renormalised Prandtl number*. Thus, again, exponents ζ_{2n}^b follow the same key characteristics of multiscaling as observed in fluid turbulence. The most striking aspect of our results for ζ_{2n}^b is their dependence on P . According to our results obtained above P is not a fixed number in the NESS of a binary fluid mixture, but rather parametrised by the degree of crosscorrelations between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. Even though our results for ζ_{2n}^b are obtained in the passive limit their dependences on P are likely to remain in the full nonlinear problem, and thereby open up the intriguing possibility of variable multiscaling parametrised by the degree of crosscorrelations between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.

Finally we consider the scaling of the structure functions $S_{2n}^\psi = \langle [\psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \psi(\mathbf{x})]^{2n} \rangle$, commonly discussed in the passive scalar literature [11]. We have $S_{2n}^\psi(r) \sim r^{n(4-\varepsilon)} \phi_n(mr)$ in the case where the $\mathbf{u}$ fields are assumed to have a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and a variance given by (13). The asymptotic limit of the scaling functions

$\phi_n(mr)$ will determine the multiscaling of $S_{2n}^\psi(r)$, which are not invariant under the transformation TII. Hence in the OPE of $O_\psi^n = [\psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \psi(\mathbf{x})]^{2n}$ operators violating TII may appear [10]. By virtue of TII operators $(b_i)^{2n}$ (most dominant in the OPE of O_ψ^n) do not renormalize and as a result the anomalous dimension of O_ψ^n is zero [10]. Therefore, $S_{2n}^\psi(r) \sim r^{n(4-\epsilon)}$ exhibiting only simple scaling as observed in Ref.[6] for $2d$. This conclusion remains unaffected even when $\mathbf{u}$ is to be given by the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation. Therefore our results provide a symmetry motivated understanding for those in Ref.[6]. It should be noted that in the problem of true passive advection, e.g., the advection of a temperature field by an incompressible field, $\alpha_o = 0$ and as a result, the condition imposed by TII does not exist any more. Therefore, the operators $(b_i)^n$ renormalise and contribute to the multiscaling of $S_{2n}^\psi(r)$ as has been found in Refs.[11].

In summary, we have discussed the interplay between the symmetries of the model equations of a binary mixtures and its scaling and multiscaling properties in the NESS. We have highlighted the dependence of the statistical properties of the NESS on the degree of crosscorrelations between the velocity and gradient fields. Our results open up the intriguing possibility of continuously varying multiscaling universality class for such a system. Our results on multiscaling suggest the *singular nature* of the weak scalar limit of the full nonlinear problem and connects the presence of a symmetry to such properties. Our results display the key aspects of multiscaling as observed in fluid turbulence. Although the noise crosscorrelations (5) implies that the velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ and the concentration gradient field $\mathbf{b}$ have symmetric and antisymmetric crosscorrelations $\mathbf{u}$ and the concentration field ψ have *no* crosscorrelations. Crosscorrelations between $\mathbf{u}$ and ψ can arise only if fields $\mathbf{u}$ are compressible. It should be noted that while comparing with real data one needs to impose the irrotational condition with respect to the second index in the third of the Eqs. (5). This arises due to the fact the vector $\mathbf{b}$ is purely solenoidal ($\mathbf{b} = \nabla\psi$). This yields a relation between N_s and N_a ; however, one of them still remains free and allows for variable scaling properties in the steady state. Such properties are essentially nonequilibrium since in equilibrium noise crosscorrelations vanish identically. Our results may be verified in turbulent experiments with symmetric binary mixtures in their miscible phase. In particular we suggest experiments active-grid generated turbulence experiments [17] with symmetric binary fluid in its miscible phase. Such active-grid experimental set-ups, which, to a good extent, are practical realizations of stochastically driven turbulence models, are fitted with grids with agitator wings which rotate with frequencies and for durations which are randomly chosen with given distributions. Note that in the present case, crosscorrelation functions of the velocity and the concentration gradient fields are odd under parity reversal which are absent in equilibrium and can be generated only by appropriate external drives. In experimental set-ups such spatial randomness can be incorporated by adding several layers of active grids, each layer having random distributions of agitator wings. The effective stochastic forcings, generated by allowing agitator wings belonging to different levels of grids to have different rotation speeds and time durations with the spatial distributions of agitator wings breaking inversion symmetry will generate non-zero crosscorrelation functions of the velocity and the concentration gradient field at the lowest order. In the resulting nonequilibrium steady state, the concentration fluctuations can be studied with light-scattering techniques [18]. In numerical experiments such crosscorrelations can be generated by extending the methods of A. Sain *et al* [19] by controlling the appropriate Grashof numbers [21], constructed out of the various noise correlators. In the closing we would like to comment that since the α_o term in Eq. (1) is $O(1)$ in symmetric binary mixtures, experimental studies will reveal the active nature of the dynamics, and more specifically, measurements of multiscaling should verify that the structure functions $S_{2n}^b(r)$ exhibit multiscaling, where as $S_{2n}^\psi(r)$ exhibit simple scaling *even* in the weak concentration field limit. Furthermore, in asymmetric binary mixtures the correlation length ζ is small and hence, in the relevant scaling regime over length scales $\gg \zeta$ the α_o term in Eq. (1) is very small leading to experimental situations revealing passive behaviour. From a wider point of view our results illustrate the richness of nonequilibrium phenomenologies. Recently, analyses on model nonequilibrium systems [20] revealed the existence of continuous variation of the scaling exponents. Ours is an illustration of such variations of multiscaling universality classes in natural systems. Our results suggest further studies for similar effects in driven soft-matter and biologically relevant systems.

The author wishes to thank J. Santos for discussions, D. R. Nelson for critical comments and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany for partial financial support.

[*] Present address, e-mail: abhik@mpipks-dresden.mpg.de

[1] M. E. Fisher, in *Critical Phenomena, Lect. Notes Phys.*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1983).

[2] P. C. Hohenberg *et al*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **49**, 435 (1977).

[3] H. L. Swinney *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A*, **8**, 2586 (1973).

[4] U. Frisch, *Turbulence: The Legacy of A.N. Kolmogorov*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[5] S. K. Dhar *et al.*, *Pramana - J. Phys.*, **48**, 325 (1997).

[6] A. Celani *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89**, 234502 (2002).

- [7] R. Ruiz and D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. A*, **23**, 3224 (1981).
- [8] M. K. Nandy *et al.*, *J. Phys. A*, **31**, 2621 (1998).
- [9] See, e.g., N. V. Antonov, *Physica D*, **144**, 370 (2000).
- [10] For some details, see A. Basu, unpublished.
- [11] M. Chertkov *et al*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **52**, 4924 (1995); M. Chertkov *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **76**, 2706 (1996); K. Gawedzki and A. Kupiainen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **75**, 3834 (1995); D. Bernard *et al.* *Phys. Rev. E*, **54**, 2564 (1996); L. Ts. Adzhemyan *et al.* *Phys. Rev. E*, **58**, 1823 (1998).
- [12] A. Basu, *Europhys. Lett.*, **65**, 505 (2004).
- [13] A. N. Kolmogorov, *C. R. Acad. Sci. USSR*, **30**, 301 (1941).
- [14] L. Ts. Adzhemyan *et al.*, *The Field Theoretic Renormalization Group in Fully Developed Turbulence*, Gordon and Breach, London (1999).
- [15] This form for $3d$ can be generalized to arbitrary dimensions d in standard ways.
- [16] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, Clarendon, Oxford (1989).
- [17] R. E. G. Poorte and A. Biesheuvel, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **461**, 127 (2002), A. Gylfason and Z. Warhaft, *Phys. Fluids*, **16**, 4012 (2004), and references therein.
- [18] See, e.g., W. I. Goldberg and J. S. Huang, in *Fluctuations, Instabilities and Phase Transitions*, edited by T. Riste (Plenum, New York, 1975).
- [19] A. Sain *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81**, 4377 (1998).
- [20] B. Drossel *et al.*, cond-mat/0307579; A. Basu and E. Frey, *Phys. Rev. E*, **69**, 015101(R) (2004).
- [21] C. R. Doering J. D. Gibbon, *Applied Analysis of the Navier-Stokes equation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).